

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	HB0526		,	Title:	Prohibit use of certain salts on loca		use of certain salts on local and state roads	
Primary Sponsor:	ry Sponsor: Sales, Scott			Status:	As Amended			
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact ☑		Needs to be included in HB 2			✓	Technical Concerns		
☐ Included in	the Executive Budget		Significant Long-Te	erm	Impacts			Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

	FISCAL S	UMMARY		
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	Difference	Difference	Difference	Difference
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$2,117,654	\$433,654	\$433,654	\$433,654
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> This bill will prohibit use of certain salt applications that the Montana Department of Transportation MDT currently uses to conduct its winter road maintenance activities.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Transportation

- 1. No magnesium chloride or calcium chloride could be used for snow and ice control.
- 2. Current salt/sand usage, current brine usage and deicer usage were based on FY 2008 totals. The increase in cost reflected in this fiscal note is the difference when compared to current practices.
- 3. The cost estimate for the increase in salt brine to offset the elimination of magnesium or calcium chloride is \$433,654 per year.
- 4. Brine operations must be built in an enclosed building for environmental issues (prevent leaching) and because of the amount of water use in producing brine, the building will need to prevent freezing. Four salt brine facilities would need to be constructed to have sufficient supplies specifically for winter maintenance activities. The facilities include the foundation, tent, plumbing, brine maker, well, in floor hopper, and storage tanks. These would be constructed at a one time only cost of \$1,284,000.

5. Additional truck and trailers for salt operations would be required at a one time only cost of \$400,000.

	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 Difference		
Fiscal Impact:						
Expenditures:						
Operating Expenses	\$433,654	\$433,654	\$433,654	\$433,654		
Equipment	\$1,684,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
TOTAL Expenditures	\$2,117,654	\$433,654	\$433,654	\$433,654		
_		_				
Funding of Expenditures:						
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
State Special Revenue (02)	\$2,117,654	\$433,654	\$433,654	\$433,654		
TOTAL Funding of Exp	\$2,117,654	\$433,654	\$433,654	\$433,654		
Revenues:						
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):						
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$2,117,654)	(\$433,654)	(\$433,654)	(\$433,654)		

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

1. Local governments would likely see additional expenditures on salt. This amount is undeterminable.

Technical Notes:

- 1. This bill needs to allow adequate time for MDT to gear up with a statewide brining operation. It would be requested that full compliance would be by July 1, 2010.
- 2. The facilities costs need to be included in LRB HB5.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date